## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,379	02/19/2004	William S. Hurst	TR-6009	6415
29200 DAVTED HEA	7590 10/17/2007	EXAMINER		
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION  1 BAXTER PARKWAY			CHIMIAK, EMILY ANN	
DF2-2E DEERFIELD,	IL 60015		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEERI IEEE,			1791	
			l	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/783,379	HURST ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Emily Chimiak	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju.  This action is FINAL. 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊡ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	ot(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Application No. 10/783,379

### Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1, 3, 5, 21, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 37-39, 41, 42, 44-47, 49, 50, 51, 57, 58 and 77-80,

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1, 3, 5, 21, 22, 23, 25-28, 30, 37- 39, 41, 42, 44-47, 49, 50, 51, 57, 58 and 77-80.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,379 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/26/2007 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of the elected species in the reply filed on March 23, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that each of the independent claims of Group I are connected in design, operation, and effect. This is not found persuasive because even the claims made by the same method can be, and in this case are, mutually exclusive. Claims 4, 6, 7-10, 14, 16-20, 24, 29, 31-34, 36, 40, 43 and 52-55 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non elected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1, 5 and 77-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peters et al. '522 in view of Savitski et al. and Onishi et al. (US 5547472).

As to claim 1, Peters et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device comprising:

- providing a first article of a polymeric material; providing a second article of a polymeric material;
- contacting and attaching the first article with the second article along a n interface area; and exposing the first article and the second article to a specific portion of the infrared spectrum where the polymeric material of the first article and the polymeric material of the second article absorb infrared energy in order to generate sufficient heat to create a bond between the first article and the second article

(See figure 5a-5f, column 8, lines 6-56).

Peters et al. discloses fitting a heat shrinkable tube over the balloon but does not disclose that the heat shrinkable tube functions as a heat shield over the bond area.

However, Savitski et al. discloses applying performing coupling 40 (equated to the heat shrinkable tube disclosed by Peters et al. and the heat shield disclosed by applicant) with a

Art Unit: 1791

predetermined distribution of absorbing material in order to form uniform welds with sufficient molten plastic materials wherein the distribution of radiation absorbing material depending on the joint configuration and particular materials to be joined (figure 3 and [0078]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the heat shield configuration of Savitski et al. in the assembling method disclosed by Peters et al. in order to afford heating of certain parts of the weld to provide sufficient molten plastic material.

Peters et al. discloses the balloon (37) is made of "conventional materials" and that the distal inner tube (34) is made of "suitable polymers" (col. 5 lines 66-67 and col. 6 lines 54-55), but it is unclear whether Peters et al. discloses that these "conventional materials" and "suitable polymers" are selected from the group consisting of polybutadiene.

However, Onishi et al. teaches that it is known to be useful in the art of catheter fabrication to use polybutadiene for the distal end of catheter tube (2) and balloon (4) to which the tube is attached (col. 2 lines 51-52 and col. 4 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use polybutadiene for the balloon and distal inner tube of Peters et al. as modified by Savitski et al. because Onishi et al. teaches that polybutadiene is known to be a useful polymer for these catheter components.

As to claim 5, Peters et al. discloses a method wherein the first article is a medical tubing and the second article is a medical tubing (column 8, lines 9-11).

Art Unit: 1791

6. Claims 1, 5, 21, 26-28, 30, 41, 45-47 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holman et al. (2003/0201059) in view of Savitski et al. and Onishi et al.

As to claim 1, Holman et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device comprising:

- providing a first article of a polymeric material; providing a second article of a polymeric material
- contacting and attaching the first article 12 with the second article 16 along a n interface area; and
- exposing the first article and the second article to a specific portion of the infrared spectrum where the polymeric material of the first article and the polymeric material of the second article absorb infrared energy in order to generate sufficient heat to create a bond between the first article and the second article

(See paragraph 0034-0039).

Holman et al. discloses that a material can be added to the catheter to absorb a predetermined wavelength of energy in a variety of ways, but does not disclose fitting a heat shield over the bond area.

However, Savitski et al. discloses applying performing coupling 40 (heat shield) with a predetermined distribution of absorbing material in order to form uniform welds with sufficient molten plastic materials (figure 3 and [0078]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the heat shield configuration of Savitski et al. in the assembling method disclosed by Holman

Art Unit: 1791

in order to afford heating of certain parts of the weld to provide sufficient molten plastic material.

Holman et al. discloses that the various components of catheter 10 is preferably constructed from thermoplastic materials ([0036]), but it is unclear whether Holman teaches using polybutadiene as the thermoplastic material.

However, Onishi et al. teaches that it is known to be useful in the art of catheter fabrication to use polybutadiene for the distal end of catheter tube (2) and balloon (4) to which the tube is attached (col. 2 lines 51-52 and col. 4 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use polybutadiene catheter components disclosed by Holman et al. as modified by Savitski et al. because Onishi et al. teaches that polybutadiene is known to be a useful polymer for these catheter components.

As to claims 5, 30 and 42 Holman et al. discloses a method wherein the first article is a medical tubing and the second article is a medical tubing (paragraph 0034, 0068). As to claims 21 and 41, Holman et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device comprising the steps of: providing a first article 12 of a polymeric material; providing a second article 16 of a polymeric material; applying an infrared absorbing pigment to the first article and the second article to define an interface area (paragraph 0039); contacting the first article with the second article along the interface area; and bonding the first article to the second article along the interface area using infrared exposure (paragraph 0034-0039). As to claims 26-28 and 45-47,

Art Unit: 1791

Holman et al. discloses a method wherein the infrared absorbing pigment is placed on a first portion of the first or second article in a first concentration and in a second portion of the surface in a second concentration lower than the first concentration; applying a first infrared exposure to the first portion of the surface to create a seal, and applying a second infrared exposure higher than the first infrared exposure to the second portion of the surface to create a second seal (paragraphs 0058-0067, 0034-0045, 0013-0018, figure 14). As to claim 51, Holman et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device, said method comprising: providing a first article 12 of a polymeric material; providing a second article 16 of a polymeric material; providing an infrared responsive pigmented film 18; placing the infrared responsive pigmented film between the first article and the second article to define an interface area and contacting the first article with the second article; and applying infrared exposure to bond the first article and the second article (figures paragraph 0034-0039).

7. Claims 1, 3, 5, 21, 26-28, 30, 37, 38, 41, 42, 45-51, 57 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peters et al. '552 in view of Savatski et al., Onishi et al., Holman et al. (2003/0201059) and ANTEC 2000 Plastics: The Magical Solution, Volume 1: Processing, hereafter referred to as ANTEC 2000 Plastics.

As to claim 1, Peters et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device comprising the steps of:

- providing a first article of a polymeric material; providing a second article of a polymeric material;
- contacting the first article with the second article along the interface area;

Art Unit: 1791

• and bonding the first article to the second article along the interface area using infrared exposure

(See figure 5a-5f, column 8, lines 6-56).

Peters et al. is silent as to applying a heat shield over the bond area and as to a method of applying an infrared absorbing pigment to the first and second articles. However, Savitski et al. discloses applying performing coupling 40 (heat shield) with a predetermined distribution of absorbing material in order to form uniform welds with sufficient molten plastic materials (figure 3 and [0078]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the heat shield configuration of Savitski et al. in the assembling method disclosed by Holman in order to afford heating of certain parts of the weld to provide sufficient molten plastic material.

Peters et al. discloses the balloon (37) is made of "conventional materials" and that the distal inner tube (34) is made of "suitable polymers" (col. 5 lines 66-67 and col. 6 lines 54-55), but it is unclear whether Peters et al. discloses that these "conventional materials" and "suitable polymers" are selected from the group consisting of polybutadiene.

However, Onishi et al. teaches that it is known to be useful in the art of catheter fabrication to use polybutadiene for the distal end of catheter tube (2) and balloon (4) to which the tube is attached (col. 2 lines 51-52 and col. 4 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use polybutadiene for the balloon and distal inner tube of Peters et al. as modified by Savitski et al. because Onishi et al. teaches that polybutadiene is known to be a useful polymer for these catheter components.

Art Unit: 1791

Holman et al. discloses a method of assembling two medical tubular articles together as discussed above, and further discloses a step of applying an infrared absorbing pigment to the first article and the second article to define an interface area (paragraph 0037-0039). Holman et al. also discloses that an infrared responsive pigmented film 18 can be placed between the first article and second article to define an interface area (paragraph 0039). Application of said pigment coating or film provides each article with a desired absorption characteristic (paragraph 0035-0037). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Peters et al. by applying an infrared absorbing pigment (as a coating or film ) to the first article and the second article to define an interface area as taught by Holman et al. above. Such a modification would enable the absorption characteristics of the articles of Peters et al. to be varied to a desired characteristic.

As to claims 26-28, 30, 42 and 45-47, Holman et al. meets the limitations of said claims as discussed above. As to claims 3, 37, 49 and 57 examiner asserts that PTFE is a well-known material in the art, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized its use as an infrared blocker. As to claims 38, 50, 51 and 58 Peters et al. discloses that bonding takes place as a result of infrared absorption at multiple locations along an axis (locations 40, 41, 38, 39). Since the reference discloses that the heat shield blocks non-bonding regions from infrared exposure, the presence of "slots" at locations 40, 41, 38, 39, is inherent to the heat shield of Peters et al. because said locations are exposed to infrared light.

8. Claims 25 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peters et al. '552 in view of Savatski et al. and Holman et al. as applied to claim 21 and 41 above, and further in view of ANTEC 2000 Plastics: The Magical Solution, Volume 1: Processing, hereafter referred to as ANTEC 2000 Plastics.

As to claims 25 and 44, examiner asserts that printing is a well-known method of coating an article, including in the art of coating an infrared absorbing pigment on a polymeric article as shown by ANTEC 2000 Plastics, which discloses that printing is one of the methods of applying NIR absorber dye onto a polymer piece (col. 1 paragraphs 2 and 4). It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to print infrared responsive dye as a low cost way of imparting absorptive properties to selected areas.

9. Claims 21-23 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holman et al., Savatski et al. and Onishi et al. as applied above, and in further view of Ammann et al. '097.

Holman et al. discloses a method as discussed above, and further discloses that any known infrared absorbing pigment can be used. The reference is silent however, as to specifically using carbon black or activated charcoal. Ammann et al. discloses a method for assembling a medical device comprising: providing first and second articles of polymeric material, contacting the first article with the second article along the interface area and bonding the two articles together using infrared radiation (figure 2, column 2, line 40 – column 4, line 8). Ammann further discloses that it is well known and preferable to use carbon black or activated charcoal as the infrared absorbing pigments at the bonding interface (column 3, lines 5-10). At

Art Unit: 1791

the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use either carbon black or activated charcoal as the applied infrared absorbing pigment as is well known in the art and taught by Ammann et al.

As to claim 39, Ammann et al. discloses a method wherein bonding is performed using an infrared lamp (column 3, line 63-column 4, line 7).

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5, 10-20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31 and 37-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding independent claims 1, 21, 41 and 51, applicant is thanked for pointing out the passages of the Specifications that support the amendments. No new matter was found.

As to applicant's argument,

Moreover, none of the cited references even recognize the advantages, benefits and/or properties of applying infrared exposure to bond the first article to the second article comprising the selected polymeric materials at their interface in accordance with the present claims.

(see page 10, paragraph 2 of the Remarks mailed 07/31/2007), it is noted that both primary references teach joining the first and second polymeric materials using infrared exposure (col. 2 lines 64-67 in Peters et al. and Holman et al. [0034] – [0039]) and that it is not required by the claims that the references recite the advantages, benefits and/or properties of applying infrared exposure to bond the first article to the second.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1791

final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Chimiak whose telephone number is (571)272-6486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571)272-6486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**EAC** 

RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700